Two Truths Woman Would Never Accept

N.B.

The Arabic version of this article is the reference, read it via the following link:

حقيقتان لا تقبلُ بهُنَّ حوَّاءُ أبداً

Two scientific truths that Eve will never accept as long as days follow nights. The first is the foundation—it is the mother truth. The second is the successor, the lawful and logical daughter of the first. The second cannot see the light of dawn unless the first clears the way for it.

Therefore, begin by contemplating the first. If it takes root in your mind, the second will slip in stealthily, illuminating your darkness and awakening you from a prolonged slumber. But if it falls at the threshold of your perception, cast the second aside and spare yourself the effort of attempting it. For the first is the **input**, and without it, the second—the **output**—cannot exist.

As for the first truth—the shock—it is Eve's fundamental role in determining the sex of her offspring. As for the second truth—the malignant one—it lies within Eve herself, and herein lies the source of anxiety and the root of contentious debate.

As for myself, my conviction is settled; it has become clear to me, beyond doubt, that wombs differ in their capacity to produce males or females. For there is the Eve who bears only females, even if she strives otherwise and carries many pregnancies, accumulating numerous births. And there is the favored Eve who bears only males whenever she seeks to do so. Between these and those, possibilities abound and ratios vary: some are ill-fated wombs where females predominate in their offspring, while others are favored ones where males prevail and dominate their progeny.

Personally, the privilege has been mine to proclaim the first truth and defend it on every platform and in every arena... and I still do. As for the second truth, it has

oozed disgustingly from people's lips since perhaps the time of Adam. Yet it has found no one to verify the validity of its claim, nor to scrutinize the scientific basis of its framing and structure. But here I stand, taking up the challenge—and I seek conclusive proofs to affirm this proposition.

The First Truth:

Who is responsible for determining the fetus's sex? If we seek simplification, the two [parents] hold no superiority over each other. Yet if we seek logic and reality, Eve holds clear precedence.

The man—who long boasted of his sole role in determining his offspring's sex, and often claimed exclusivity in such decree and destiny—must henceforth accept the idea of partnership and acknowledge woman's profound contribution to shaping the child's sexual identity.

As for the woman—wrongfully and ignorantly accused of passivity and neutrality, who at times willingly accepted this role, and at others embraced it for her own ends—she now bears the burden of adopting this emergent truth, even if the consequences weigh heavy and the cost runs high.

A woman stores two types of oocytes in her ovaries:

I assert: A woman is born storing in her ovaries a mixture of female oocytes and male oocytes—though their quantities are unequal (detailed later). All her oocytes have the X chromosome, which misled earlier scientists into wrongly accusing them of being "unisex." They erroneously considered all oocytes in a woman's reserve to be inherently female. Herein lies a grave scientific calamity—the root of error and transgression.

Confidently and resolutely, I continue to present the coherent outputs of my research. These oocytes, though morphologically similar, differ in molecular weight and function. In some, the X chromosome possesses a higher molecular weight than in others. The oocytes carrying the larger X chromosome are female oocytes, while those bearing the smaller X chromosome are male oocytes. Herein lies the groundbreaking novelty of my claim—a truth I shall defend all my life.

Here, the disagreement between my thesis and theirs stands **elevated and unequivocal**, and the parting between my hypothesis and their theory becomes **absolute and eternal**.

The female oocyte yields a female embryo if and only if it is fertilized by a female sperm. Should it be fertilized by a male sperm, the union project is aborted and the fertilization process fails. Similarly, the male oocyte will complete its developmental project only when united with a male sperm—otherwise, the fertilized ovum meets death (see detailed explanation in the attached video).

Proof of the Claim

Like all female somatic cells, the **oogonium** (the cell generating oocytes) contains **23 pairs of chromosomes**. Typically, attention is given only to the **female sexual chromosome pair** (denoted as **XX**) to represent all types of female somatic cells—a representation I consider **lacking in precision** and **detached from biological reality**.

Through research and analysis, I have revealed that the two X chromosomes differ from each other in molecular weight, as well as in role and function. One must be of higher molecular weight than the other. I designate it as the **Giant Chromosome** $X(X^*)$, necessitating the addition of an asterisk (*) to the symbol X to distinguish it from the smaller counterpart.

Thus, the symbol X^* most truthfully represents the Giant Chromosome X. The other remains unchanged—low in molecular weight—retaining its original symbol X without modification. Consequently, representing women with the XX^* formula aligns most closely with biological reality and research findings.

It has also been revealed to me that the Giant Chromosome X (X*) will later become the Barr Body. Thus, I have deemed the first [X*] a Female Sexual Chromosome, while the second [Barr Body] is but a condensation of the first—manifested only upon the completion of the female project and the perfection of her form. This conclusion derives from logical reasoning and inductive analysis, not personal whim or desire (see detailed explanation in the attached video).

.

As for the small X chromosome that remains unchanged, it is the **entrusted legacy** of the Mother Stem Cell to descendants—a carrier chromosome devoid of sexual determinism.

The oocytes are formed through meiotic division (meiosis) of the oogonium. The mother cell gives rise to four daughter cells, each receiving either the small X chromosome or the giant X^* chromosome. Consequently, two daughter cells become male-designated (carrying the small X), while the other two become female-designated (carrying the giant X^*) (1).

<u>Important Note:</u> A comprehensive elaboration—and beyond—is detailed in the discussion of the Second Truth, necessitating brevity here and expansiveness there.

Likewise, the man produces two types of sperm. At every moment, a man produces vast quantities of sperm. Half his output consists of male sperm (Y-bearing), and the other half female sperm (X-bearing). This is what scientific research has long confirmed—a consensus upheld by earlier and modern scholars alike. I affirm this same conclusion without deviation from this agreement.

The **spermatogonium** undergoes meiotic division (meiosis) to produce **four spermatozoa**:

- Two male sperm (Y-chromosome carriers),
- Two female sperm (X-chromosome carriers).

Here, the division is equitable. All four sperm are functional, joining their counterparts from other spermatogonia to form the sperm cohort that races to fertilize the egg during every productive intercourse.

Woman's role is fundamental; man's role is secondary:

During intercourse, a man ejaculates **tens of millions of sperm** toward the egg: Half are **male sperm** (Y-bearing), and the other Half are **female sperm** (X-bearing).

Meanwhile, a woman economizes—releasing **only one or two oocytes** per menstrual cycle. **Each oocyte possesses a predetermined sexual identity:** Either a **female oocyte** (X^*) , or a **male oocyte** (X).

That is, it emerges from its **ovarian follicle** with its sexual identity **permanently fixed**. No alteration or change in its sex occurs. **Consequently, sperm cannot alter the sexual identity of the Oocyte, nor can they determine the sex of the Ovum.**

Sperm are merely the key to initiating creation—nothing more. If the sperm's sex matches that of the Oocyte, the creation project is launched. Otherwise, the project is aborted in its infancy, and the Ovum perishes due to genetic data incompatibility between sperm and oocyte. We then await a new cycle and a new oocyte—should the couple desire conception.

However often attempts are repeated, the law remains binding and imperative: The decision belongs to the woman, and the man must acknowledge it. The oocyte possesses a clear sexual identity. For life-capable creation to occur, the sperm must carry the matching signal—if creation is desired.

A car cannot start without its specific key, but its key cannot transform it into an airplane or motorcycle. The machine remains as it left its factory; The key is but permission to ignite.

In this framework, the Oocyte emerges as the decisive commander of the future offspring's sex. Alone in the arena, it faces multitudes of sperm. Wherever the oocyte turns, it encounters vast numbers—these males, those females. Here, the Oocyte assumes primary heroic status, while the abundant multitude resigns itself to secondary heroism.

Doubtless, you are skeptical of my words and dismayed. For me, the assertion is light and effortless. Yet for you, comprehension—and then acceptance—is arduous and taxing. No matter. This conviction took me a great while to reach. Until recently, I echoed their doctrine, swimming in the same currents they swam. Long did I proclaim the male's exclusive role in determining his offspring's sex.

But lately, my vision transformed. When the poverty of their claims grew clear—Their inability to explain a multitude of phenomena and truths. **The chasm between my convictions and their hypotheses deepened.**

My in-depth research into the origin of the emergence of the human male and female from a single root deepened the chasm between my convictions and their hypotheses.

Especially after verifying the identity of the **Barr Body**, and after realizing the secret of its origin and function.

The Second Truth:

This notion has long circulated among people, who acted upon its decree with confidence. Men have repeatedly wielded it as justification—multiplying marriages to reap rewards and harbor hopes. "This woman bears only daughters, while sons are pillars of prestige and safeguards against life's treacheries and shifting eras". "That womb bestows male children, proven by a prior marriage, never altering its generous habit"—whether they spoke in earnest or in jest.

Thus, one woman grieves under this stigma, while another rejoices in her acquired status. I describe this reality to you with precision, and I relay it here because I, too, have now become one of its advocates.

Since uncovering the truth that a woman's reserve of oocytes includes both female oocytes $(23+X^*)$ and male oocytes (23+X) produced by her two ovaries, I began earnest research into the scientific plausibility of such widespread claims.

The First Truth I discovered and now guard, while established science has long detailed cell biology and reproduction. Thus, it remains only to synthesize these with those, and not forget imagination's ample share—that we may reach definitive conclusions, even if they sometimes taste bitter and repel.

In Difference Lies the Proof

The process of producing oocytes in women mirrors that of sperm production in men, differing only in their endpoints.

- It begins with an oogonium for oocytes,
- And a spermatogonium for sperm.

In both cases, the precursor cell undergoes meiotic division (meiosis), yielding four daughter cells:

- Two classified as female cells,
- Two as male cells.

<u>In women</u>, the two "female" cells monopolize the giant X chromosome, while the "male" cells retain the small X chromosome. <u>In men</u>, two cells are "female" (containing the X chromosome), and two are "male" (distinguished by the Y chromosome). Herein lies complete agreement between oocytogenesis in a woman's ovaries and spermatogenesis in a man's testes. **But beyond this, divergence becomes absolute—the difference is fundamental.**

In men, the division of sperm production is governed by equitable justice—yielding both male and female sperm. All four daughter cells persist and perform their primordial function: They become four functional spermatozoa; Two male-designated (Y-bearing), Two female-designated (X-bearing). Life, like function, is a gift to all—No distinction or selection occurs. What applies to one spermatogonium applies equally to all its functional counterparts.

This is an enduring process, persisting from puberty until death. Activity fluctuates, but once initiated, it never ceases. Here, the law of justice reigns supreme: All daughter cells become soldier-sperm—half female (X-bearing), half male (Y-bearing).

The march toward fertilizing the oocyte is a thunderous campaign, fraught with perils, exerted efforts, and fierce competition. It is an elective act mobilizing all forces—where the strongest prevails and claims victory.

Conversely, in women, the law of randomness governs oocyte production. Three perish, and one remains. The three perished daughter cells form the polar bodies, while the fourth stands alone as the oocyte.

But how does this occur? Which cell survives? Which perish? Here we investigate—here we strive earnestly to decipher the enigma central to the Second Truth.

It is the Divine Law governing cellular nature that decides in this arena—He alone rules here. As for God's speaking creatures, they ignorantly and arrogantly attributed this to chance and randomness. Thus, they asserted with certainty: 'Randomness selects the victorious cell and condemns the other three to functional death.'

Consequently, a woman's reserve of male and female oocytes becomes merely a lottery of randomness—a gift of happenstance alone. Chance may select a female daughter cell for the starring role as the oocyte, relegating the other three to polar body duty. Equally, it might choose a male daughter cell for the heroic role, casting the remaining three in supporting parts.

Randomness reigns supreme here—how can we find comfort in its choices? This same randomness may favor females in one instance, then lean wholly toward male cells in another. Thus, a woman's reserve of female versus male oocytes fluctuates according to the whims of chance and serendipity.

Ultimately, regardless of its sex, the oocyte joins its counterparts from other oogonia to form the woman's total oocyte reserve. This reserve is fixed—no additions occur.

A female is born carrying her entire lifetime supply of oocytes within her ovaries. At puberty, this reserve amounts to approximately 250,000 oocytes, though it may occasionally be slightly higher or lower. The absolute ceiling never exceeds half a million oocytes under any circumstances. Within this numerical limitation lies part of the answer to our enigma.

With this numerical limitation, randomness grows tyrannical and tightens its grip. It may cruelly persecute one woman, granting her only female oocytes. Or it may lavish generosity upon another, bestowing a reserve purely of male oocytes. Nor do I deny its occasional fairness—when many women receive a balanced reserve mingling both sexes equally.

Finally, I assert: Randomness most often wears the cloak of justice. Few women are denied a share of both sexes. True, ratios may differ—females sometimes predominate, at other times males prevail. Proportions can vary immensely: In some lineages, males dominate offspring, in others, females vastly outnumber. At the extreme, women may be deprived entirely of sons, while others bear exclusively male children.

⁽¹⁾ Matters do not unfold quite this way. Three daughter cells become polar bodies, and only one becomes the oocyte. For the sake of simplification here, I deferred discussing this until addressing the Second Truth.

- Important Note: Should you seek the complete truth of the <u>Barr Body</u> and desire full enlightenment through comprehensive revelation, I will not withhold a single detail—however minute. All you must do is read the article referenced below, and watch the accompanying video: In them lies the complete narrative and exhaustive elaboration:

 "Woman was created from man's rib—a statement rippling with philosophical implication and scientific allegory."
- Important Note: Woman's role is fundamental; man's role is secondary: Should you seek the utmost granular detail, all you must do is read the following article—for within it lies the complete narrative and exhaustive explanations:

 "Woman Decides Her Offspring's Sex, While Man Approves!"

In other contexts, you can also read the following articles:

- The Spinal Reflex, New Hypothesis of Physiology
- The Hyperreflexia, Innovated Pathophysiology
- The Spinal Shock
- The Spinal Injury, the Pathophysiology of the Spinal Shock, the Pathophysiology of the Hyperreflexia
- <u>Upper Motor Neuron Lesions, the Pathophysiology of the Symptomatology</u>
- The Hyperreflexia (1), the Pathophysiology of Hyperactivity
- The Hyperreflexia (2), the Pathophysiology of Bilateral Responses
- The Hyperreflexia (3), the Pathophysiology of Extended Hyperreflex
- The Hyperreflexia (4), the Pathophysiology of Multi-Response Hyperreflex
 - The pathophysiology of Triple flexion Reflex

- The Clonus, 1st Hypothesis of Pathophysiology
- The Clonus, 2nd Hypothesis of Pathophysiology
- The Clonus, Two Hypotheses of Pathophysiology
- The Nerve Transmission through Neural Fiber, Personal View vs.
 International View
- The Nerve Transmission through Neural Fiber (1), The Action Pressure Waves
- The Nerve Transmission through Neural Fiber (2), The Action Potentials
- The Nerve Transmission through Neural Fiber (3), The Action Electrical Currents
- The Function of Standard Action Potentials & Currents
- The Three Phases of Nerve transmission
- Neural Conduction in the Synapse (Innovated)
- Nodes of Ranvier, the Equalizers
- Nodes of Ranvier, the Functions
- Nodes of Ranvier, First Function
- Nodes of Ranvier, Second Function
- Nodes of Ranvier, Third Function
- Node of Ranvier, The Anatomy
- The Wallerian Degeneration

- The Neural Regeneration The Wallerian Degeneration Attacks Motor Axons, While Avoids Sensory Axons The Sensory Receptors *Nerve Conduction Study, Wrong Hypothesis is the Origin of the* Misinterpretation (Innovated) Piriformis Muscle Injection Personal Approach The Philosophy of Pain, Pain Comes First! (Innovated) The Philosophy of the Form (Innovated) Pronator Teres Syndrome, Struthers-Like Ligament (Innovated) Ulnar Nerve, Congenital Bilateral Dislocation Posterior Interosseous Nerve Syndrome The Multiple Sclerosis: The Causative Relationship Between The Galvanic Current & Multiple Sclerosis? Cauda Equina Injury, New Surgical Approach Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Complicated by Complete Rupture of Median Nerve Biceps Femoris' Long Head Syndrome (BFLHS)
- Adam's Rib and Adam's Apple, Two Faces of one Sin

Barr Body, The Whole Story (Innovated)

Adam's Rib, could be the Original Sin? Barr Body, the Second Look Who Decides the Sex of Coming Baby? Boy or Girl, Mother Decides! **Oocytogenesis Spermatogenesis** This Woman Can Only Give Birth to Female Children This Woman Can Only Give Birth to Male Children This Woman Can Give Birth to Female Children More Than to Male **Children** This Woman Can Give Birth to Male Children More Than to Female Children This Woman Can Equally Give Birth to Male Children & to Female Children Eve Saved Human Identity; Adam Ensured Human Adaptation Coronavirus (Covid-19): After Humiliation, Is Targeting Our Genes Coronavirus (Covid-19): After Humiliation, Is Targeting Our Genes *The Black Hole is a (the) Falling Star?* Mitosis in Animal Cell **Meiosis**

| Universe Creation, Hypothesis of Continuous Cosmic Nebula |
|--|
| Circulating Sweepers |
| |
| Pneumatic Petrous, Bilateral Temporal Hyperpneumatization |
| Congenital Bilateral Thenar Hypoplasia |
| <u>Ulnar Dimelia, Mirror hand Deformity</u> |
| |
| Surgical Restoration of a Smile by Grafting a Segment of the Gracilis Muscle to the Face |
| Mandible Reconstruction Using Free Fibula Flap |
| <u>Presacral Schwannoma</u> |
| Giant Liver Hemangioma Liver Hemangioma: Urgent Surgery of |
| Due to Intra-Tumor Bleeding |
| Free Para Scapular Flap (FPSF) for Skin Reconstruction |
| Claw Hand Deformity (Brand Operation) |
| Algodystrophy Syndrome Complicated by Constricting Ring at the Proximal Border of the Edema |
| Non- Traumatic Non- Embolic Acute Thrombosis of Radial Artery (Buerger's Disease) |

<u>To read the article in Arabic, click on</u> \rightarrow

<u>Isolated Axillary Tuberculosis Lymphadenitis</u>

The Iliopsoas Tendonitis... The Snapping Hip

| | The New Frankenstein Monster |
|---|---|
| | <u>The Lone Wolf</u> |
| | The Delirium of Night and Day |
| | The Delirium of the Economy |
| | Ovaries in a Secure Corner Testicles in a Humble Sac: An Inquiry into the Function of Form |
| | Eve Preserves Humanity's Blueprint; Adam Drives Its Evolution |
| | The Manufacture of the Unconscious |
| - | The Ballad of Eternity |

Two Truths Woman Would Never Accept

27/11/2020